IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PIUS C.KURIAKOSE

MONDAY, THE 4TH JUNE 2007 / 14TH JYAISHTA 1929

WP(C).No. 33828 of 2005(B)

CMA.181/2003 of D.C. & SESSIONS COURT,KOZHIKODE

.....

PETITIONER:

M/S. SATYAM COMPUTER SERVICES LIMITED
REGD.OFFICE AT MAYFAIR CENTRE, S.P. ROAD,
SECUNDERABAD - 500 003, REPRESENTED BY ITS
VICE PRESIDENT (CROP.AFFAIRS) & COMPANY
SECRETARY SRI.G. JAYARAMAN.

BY ADV. SRI.S.R.DAYANANDA PRABHU

RESPONDENTS:

---

1. RONNIE T. CHERIAN,
S/O. M.G. CHERIAN, AGED 35 YEARS,
RESIDING AT `CHERRY VILLA' YMCA ROAD,
KALATHINKUNNU AMSOM DESOM,
KOZHIKODE TALUK.

2. M/S. POINEERING MANAGEMENT
CORPORATION, C/O. THE ACCOUNT OF PIONEER
INDIA FUND CUSTODIAN, STANDARD CHARTERED BANK
CUSTODIAL-SERVICES DIVISION, 4TH FLOOR,
HOECHST HOUSE, BACKBAY RECLAMATION, NARIMAN POINT,
MUMBAI-400 021.

3. M/S. TAURUS INVESTMENTS
18/197, RANGA VILAS, KANDAMKULAM CROSS ROAD,
KASABA AMSOM DESOM, KOZHIKODE TALUK.

BY ADV. SRI.E.NARAYANAN
SRI.SRINATH GIRISH

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD ON 04/06/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

APPENDIX

PETITIONER'S EXHIBITS:

EXT.P1 : TRUE COPY OF PLAINT DT.22.2.98 FILED BY THE FIRST REPSONDENT AS OS NO.170/99 BEFORE MUNSIFF COURT, KOZHIKODE -II.

EXT.P2 : TRUE COPY OF WRITTEN STATEMENT DT.13.12.2000 FILED BY THE PETITIONER BEFORE MUNSIFF COURT, KOSHIKODE - II.

EXT.P3 : TRUE COPY OF ORDER DT.23.1.03 IN IA NO.233/03 IN OS NO.170/99 PASSED BY MUNSIFF COURT, KOSHIKODE.

EXT.P4 : TRUE COPY OF ORDER DT.18.6.05 IN CMA NO.181/03 PASSED BY DISTRICT COURT, KOZHIKODE.

PIUS C. KURIAKOSE, J.

W.P.(C) No. 33828 OF 2005

Dated this the 4th day of June, 2007

JUDGMENT

It cannot be said that the arguments of Sri.S.R.Dayananda Prabhu were totally unattractive. But having regard to the contours of this Court's jurisdiction under Article 227 of the Constitution, I am not persuaded to hold that Ext.P4 is so wholly unreasonable as to warrant correction under Article 227. The learned District Judge, is certainly right in his view that at least a part of cause of action has arisen within the limits of Calicut Court and hence that court has jurisdiction to entertain the suit.

The Writ Petition will stand dismissed. No costs.

PIUS C. KURIAKOSE, JUDGE

btt